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**Introduction**

Grape is one of the most important economical and commercial fruit for the world and it is a major contribution to the country’s GDP (Gross domestic product) and it is a wide adaptability crop [1,2]. *Vitis vinifera* is a dicotyledonous and annual crop plant species that is a member of the Vitaceae family, commonly called "grape" and "draksha"[3], it's cultivated in temperate, sub-tropical, tropical regions, all over the world [1,3,4]. Global production of grape is estimated to 67 million metric tons per annum at present. China is one of the leading country in the production of grape with 8,651.83 thousand tons, followed by Italy (7,787.83 thousand tons), the united states of America (6,777.73 thousand tons), Spain (6,107.20 thousand tons), France, Turkey, Argentina, chile, and south Africa[1]. India occupies the eighteenth position in world for production of grape and it's cultivated in an area of 111.4 thousand hectares with a production of 1,234.9 thousand tons [1]. Almost 71% of global grape production is used for wine, 27% fresh fruit, 2% dried fruit [3].

**Materials & Methods**

**Isolation Of Bacteria**

 The soil sample is serially diluted upto 10-4 dilutions and the diluted sample is loaded on to a freshly prepared nutrient agar plate. The cultured plates are then incubated at 37°C for 24hrs and then observed for colony growth [4].

**Extraction Of Phytochemicals**

 Dried plant leaf samples are grounded well using mortar and pestle and the phytochemicals are extracted using maceration technique for 48hrs. The mixture of solvents and plant sample are mixed in magnetic stirrer for 48hrs and then the solvent is separated using filtration via Whatmann No.1 filter paper. The filtered solvents are then dried to obtain crude extract of the phytochemicals [5].

**Results And Discussion**

**Ramachandran Plot Analysis**

The ramachandran plot analysis was performed for all protein model structures constructed in SWISSModel tool. The protein models that demonstrated more than 90% of residues in the favored regions of the ramachandran plot were considered to be reliable for structural applications. All 66 proteins demonstrated above 90% of the residues within the favored regions in the ramachandran plot and hence were considered for further processing. Graphical representation of ramachandran plot analysis of a preferred protein model with 100% of residues in favored region and a least preferred model with 82% of residues within the favored region are shown in Figure.1.



**Figure.1:** Ramchandran plot protein analysis of constructed protein models. A: Preferred model with 100% residues in favored region; B: Least preferred model with 82% residues in the favored region.

**PMDB ID submission**

All 66 protein model structures that were verified using ramachandran plot were then submitted to PMDB (Protein Model Data Base: [srv00.recas.ba.infn.it › PMDB](http://srv00.recas.ba.infn.it/PMDB/main.php)) website. The protein model structures were submitted to public database for easy access to researchers to further applications of the same. The list of constructed protein models that were submitted to PMDB is tabulated in Table.1.

**Table.1:** Modeled protein structure submissions to PMDB

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sl.No.** | **Drug target name** | **UniProt ID** | **PMDB ID** |
| 1 | ATP-dependent DNA helicase PIF1 | [A0A0B1PEI0](https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/A0A0B1PEI0) | PM0082721 |
| 2 | **Adenylyltransferase and sulfurtransferase uba4** | [A0A0B1P610](https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/A0A0B1P610) | PM0082722 |
| 3 | **Phosphatidylserine decarboxylase proenzyme 2** | [A0A0B1P526](https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/A0A0B1P526) | PM0082728 |
| 4 | Putative myosin class v myosin | [A0A0B1P6S1](https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/A0A0B1P6S1) | PM0082816 |
| 5 | ATP-dependent 6-phosphofructokinase | [A0A0B1PDE5](https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/A0A0B1PDE5) | PM0082731 |
| 6 | **NADPH--cytochrome P450 reductase** | [A0A0B1P387](https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/A0A0B1P387) | PM0082732 |
| 7 | Proliferating cell nuclear antigen | [A0A0B1NZA1](https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/A0A0B1NZA1) | PM0082733 |
| 8 | **Adenylate kinase** | [A0A0B1P0M5](https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/A0A0B1P0M5) | PM0082735 |
| 9 | GTP:AMP Phosphotransferase | [A0A0B1PEI1](https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/A0A0B1PEI1) | PM0082736 |
| 10 | Glutathione reductase | [A0A0B1P915](https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/A0A0B1P915) | PM0082738 |

**Conclusion**

The current study aimed at construction of 3D computational protein model structures of a un explored fungal pathogen *Erysiphe necator* that causes powdery mildew disease, which causes a great economical impact in grapewine crop cultivation. Further to demonstrate the SBCADD application of the constructed protein models, protein-ligand docking analysis was carried between two known antifungal drugs and their drug targets. Among the two test antifungal agents, tebuconazole was found to be a better effective antibiotic that could possibly help control the infection of this pathogen in grape cultivation. However, further in-silico validation and in-vitro studies are required for confirmation.
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